A. Intent and Purpose

In the conspiracy of the Nazi Leaders for the complete annihilation of the Jewish people, the destruction of Jewish economic life constituted one of the most important and decisive elements. The Party program of February 24, 1933, which, in Section 6, excluded Jews from citizenship, and in Section 7 limited the opportunities of work and life to citizens and called for the expulsion of the noncitizen from the Reich in case of need, shows the intention ab initio to exclude the Jews from the economic life of Germany.

That the economic destruction of the Jewish people played a most important role in the common enterprise of the Nazis for the final physical extermination of the Jewish people because inexorably clear from an article in the "Gebirge Führer" on of the SS of November 1938. The article reveals the aim which had to remain "theory" in 1938 became now a fact of military
The program means not merely the elimination of the Jews from German society... They must be cut out of our dwelling houses and our residential districts and must be quartered away from themselves... A mark of recognition must be given to them and they must be deprived of control of land, real estate or chance in their...

In this situation the present people, confined to themselves and neither willing nor able to work, will die out gradually. The Jews may still hold many million marks in their own... But since the livelihood of the German has been put off, they must earn their living somehow. And though we shall force rich Jews to support their poor, after all, a part of them will lack into absolutely...

But let nobody suppose that we shall look solely on such developments. We do not intend to permit hundreds of thousands of unwanted Jews to become a breeding place for bolshevism or a haven to the political activities of Jews...

At this point of development we should likewise face the very necessity of extermination. The Jewish problem in the same fashion in which in this period of war an extermination doctrine was upheld by fire and sword.

The emigration of the Jews, however, had other aims: in addition to extermination. By impoverishing the Jews, the Nazi Party and the German State necessarily came into possession of Jewish wealth. The leaders and preferred members of the party had the opportunity of filling their own pockets by remitting the positions, professions and business which Jews had built up.
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4. \textbf{Extermination of the Jews}.

The systematic destruction of the Jews was ordered on the basis of a planned program and by coordinated action of all forces of Nazi Germany, the "Aryan" nations in the "Jewish orbit". Germany was the "head", "front" for this plan. After having proved the "Jews" were "useless to the countries taken by "bloodless conquest."

After the outbreak of hostilities in the occupied countries of Europe and the "satellite" nations.

\textbf{(v) Extermination by economic/legal means.}

In November the boycott of April 1, 1933 directed by the Central Jewish Committee of the anti-semitic Sadrist Party, under the leadership of Joseph Goebbels, initiated the policies by which the Jews were expelled from all profes-
sions and occupations, and their industries, enterprises and property taken away from them by a law of "Aryanization."

While "Aryanization" finally encompassed all Jewish property.
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In December and the occupied countries, lists of those arrested are
not as yet available. A number in a Dynamo apparatus are, which
were with cooperation of5, and, indeed, are not among those suspected.

Before 1938.

A typical instance of the manner in which violence occurred in 1933
was the subsequent recognition by law in accordance by the following cases
of persons arrested during the law course, the following:

Festive of 19 December 1933 report:

In the room at one o'clock a strong group of 96
were found in the Law Courts. Amidst
of which were the 26th and 27th Halle and
office and conference room.

were found and all the 26th 27th, in the Law Courts.
Rumors were spread around in the court and court rooms.

were present, soon after a few minutes and
The situation was in danger, before a few minutes an
one of the 26th 27th had to leave the building before
then had to put their letter to the courts and courts.

This report that
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On 10 March 1933 the *Jusglatt* newspaper announced
the adoption of stricter methods in Berlin.

Well in advance, at least, the worst beginnings of a major law have been made, which has been changed in the Berlin Law Courts. The new regulation, which has been approved by the Berlin Law Courts, is the new regulation in the Central Law Courts, which will follow automatically from the new law. The new regulation will be in force on the day after the new law has been published. The main aim is to be given in the aggregate handling of the civil cases. Wherever you go there is so much sublimation that the window rolls. You will not receive the news in the Neustadt civilized courts, how long is Mocob to remain a "little Jerusalem" of justice beneath the fences, of no clown, Lindauer, Lindner, Stollberg, Bollnow, Becker, Becker, etc. It is to be hoped that now the law from Berlin will take a clean arrow before long.

Finally, on 31 March 1933 the two of Hoyesit Way, the

Vehrsjejerublatt reported:

This morning a large crowd forced its way into one of the Berlin courts, the Actuallit Verlind-Keller and the Nealandt-Licht, and demanded the immediate dismissal of the Jewish judge. With this was granted a demand that Jewish lawyers possess the court building. Several Jews were arrested to meet the protesters. In the former court of Jewish lawyers were unharmed and in the interest it was provisionally removed from the building to take the place of the Jewish judge. Upon the Jewish judge and lawyers left the building, those that remained, the President of Landwicht.

The next day the Prussian Minister of Justice, Hans

Kurt, officially confirmed the expulsion of Jewish judges

and lawyers and a week later the total of the decree ordering

the dismissal of Jewish judges and non-Jews, for the
Thus, first the Nazi party, its press and storm troops organize "massacres and indignation"; then the State authorities step in and suppress the "disorders", not of the terrorists, but of their victims; and finally the government passes a decree to sanction and legalize the oppression.

Nesthener legislation, issued and executed by all competent ministries of the Reich and sanctioned by the German judiciary, served as the pseudo-legal basis for expropriation. Jewish property was to be registered.

It was financed by granting acts of disposal to officials approved; money and valuables were in decorated in special banks, and "Aryan" trustees appointed to manage Jewish enterprises. All these pseudo-legal measures.

---
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calculated and enacted in such a manner that they complemented
each other and were aimed at causing the final state of com-
plete Jewish dispossession. **Example (Legislation)** gives
the specifics of these 'legal' measures.

As an illustration, the following typical decrees may
serve: (a) The decree of April 24, 1938, signed by Goebbels
and Pohl, whereby for the execution of Goering's Four-Year
Plan, Jews were ordered to assess and register their prop-
er ties and were forbidden to dispose of their possessions in
trade, industry, and agriculture.

(b) The decree by Walter Funk and Kroll-Trich of
December 3, 1938, which imposed on the Jews the compulsory
deposit of their securities, stocks and bonds at a foreign
exchange bank, and for use thereof to acquire, own or sell
objects of gold, platinum, silver, or precious stones.

7 - Exhibit C, Germany, No. 108
8 - Exhibit C, Germany, No. 158
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The final confiscation of Jewish property was completed by two ordinances:

(a) The Eleventh Ordinance to the Reich Citizenship Law of November 25, 1941, signed by Wilhelm Frick, Reich Minister of the Interior; Martin Bormann, Chief of the Chancellery of the Party; Frick, Assistant to the Reich Minister of Finance; and Dr. Schleicher, Assistant to the Reich Minister of Justice, ordered that Jews living abroad (including deportees) lose their status as German subjects, and that the property of such former German subjects revert to the Reich. By this ordinance, the Nazis were held for taking over all property, not only of Jews who, when emigrating, could not transfer their property abroad, but also of those who were deported out of Germany for forced labor or for final extermination.

(b) The Thirteenth Ordinance to the Reich Citizenship Law of July 1, 1943, signed by Wilhelm Frick, Reich Minister of the Interior; Martin Bormann, Chief of the Chancellery of the Party; and Dr. Schleicher, Assistant to the Reich Minister of Justice, ordered that all Jewish property in the Reich be confiscated and sold.
the Party: Count Schwerin von Schwanen, Reich Minister of
Finance, and Dr. Ulbricht, Reich Minister of Justice,
prevented that, after the death of a Jew, all his
property reverted to the Reich.

In addition to the acts of "legalization" to deprive
the Jews of their property, special taxes and fines were
levied upon the Jews by law to exasperate their complete
economic destruction, such as the flight tax (Fluchtsteuer)
of 1938. The "Austro-Jewish" fine described on September 13, 1938
by Goering, which imposed on the Jews the obligation to
pay one billion Reichsmarks (400 million dollars) in another
year, is another illustration of the present of docility caused by
the pillage.

A similar tax was introduced in France.

Determination of the real value of confiscated Jewish
wealth naturally very considerably. However, on the basis of

10 - Exhibit G, Germany, No. 27.
11 - Which according to the PRELIMINARY REPORT amounted to
70,000,000 reichsmarks in 1937, cf. NIELSEN, "THE WAR AND
THE ECONOMY" p. 16.
12 - Exhibit G, Germany, Ex. 144, 190, 152.
13 - Exhibit G, France (Confiscated Bank) Ex. 11.
an integrated and scientific study (referred to as Exhibit 1)
it was comparatively estimated at from $6,900,000

to $8,000,000,000.

(b) Scientific basis

The "acid" was a source of information and
accumulated by planned testing and data of violence 1 which
to economic destruction. The most crucial of these extrac-
tions' results was the associated course of November 1, 198.

This critical action was found by analysis and inspection
as the contemporaneous practice of the Rockefeller Foundation

(industry nomenclature) was in reality a planned and prepared
contrived action of the Russian Government, the VPO, the
SS, the KGB, and the regular police. Exhibit 1 contains
the official documentation as well as actual contemporaneous
interviews of the involved, the leaders, and destruction.

C. Implementation of Proposed, Organized or Controlled Destruction

The nature of economic destruction, as well as work, was

In Germany, one shifted to an area named "Auschwitz", the Auschwitz,
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After the invasion of the war of reprisals it was applied to all occupied countries, whether in the occupational authorities of the Occupied and the civil German administration, or by local governments acting on direct German report or under German supervision. "Legal" measures similar to those in Germany were issued for the eradication of Jewish property.


20. "Aryanization" of Jewish enterprises through

15. Exhibit C. Austria, Nos. 1, 4, 5, 7; Poland, No. 23;

The Netherlands, Nos. 1, 19, 29, 34, 33; Belgium, Nos. 1, 4; Czechoslovakia, No. 7, 24, 64; France (Lorraine), No. 2; (Occupied Zone), Nos. 3, 4; Belgium, Nos. 2, 4; Occupied Zone, No. 7; Soviet Union (Gedyre), Nos. 1, 4, 5.

16. Exhibit D. The Netherlands, No. 16; Luxembourg, Nos. 14, 16; Czechoslovakia, No. 14; France (Alpine) No. 1; (Occupied Zone) 1, 2; Belgium, No. 4; Yugoslavia, No. 2.

17. Exhibit E. Poland, Nos. 6, 7; The Netherlands, No. 23.

Czechoslovakia, Nos. 48, 54; Poland (Occupied Zone) No. 3, 7; Belgium, No. 5; Yugoslavia, No. 8.

18. Exhibit F. The Netherlands, No. 53; Czechoslovakia, Nos. 43, 49, 54; Poland, No. 18.
forced solely to non-profiteering by simple legislation. Likewise.

"Monopoly" measures succeeded in Berlin; done from every kind
of profession, trade, industry and commerce, and from every
job and service bringing a joy in contact with the consumer,
which meant practically depriving the State of all kinds of
existence. Exhibit C contains the record of the succession
of "legal" dismemberment in all German dominated Europe.
Unfortunately, it is useless to quote any example as typical
of this process; all the degrees were the same regardless
and above, and very often even the same wording. This
process unmistakably that the aim sought from one source,
and was implemented according to a preconceived and well
airbrushed scheme.

19 - Exhibit C, Austria, No. 56; Poland, Nos. 42, 43, 44, 45, 46;
    The Netherlands, No. 2; Luxembourg, Nos. 1, 12; France
    (Communist Zone), No. 6.
20 - Exhibit C, Poland, No. 68; Luxembourg, No. 13;
    Czechoslovakia, Nos. 47, 48, 49, 50, 51; Germany
    (Altoona), Nos. 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 (Korernkz) 17, 18,
    (Communist Zone), Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12;
    Bulgaria, Nos. 9, 12; Soviet Union (Catherine), Nos. 1, 2.
21 - Exhibit C, Austria, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13,
    14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27;
    Poland, Nos. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30,
    31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41; France
    (Altoona) Nos. 4, 5, 6; Belgium, Nos. 9, 17, 18; Yugoslavia,
    Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.
The system of economic destruction, even without the
endorsement of local power, is carried even more systematically
in the countries of Eastern Europe, especially Poland and
the occupied territories of Soviet Union. An attempt was
even made to sell by "legal" means this dispossession of
Jewish property. Jewish property and valuable were slowly
stolen outright by either the KGB offices, the German Civil
administration, or, and above all, by the direct action and
in favor of the state. Most could not be taken directly
was robbed through collective fines and taxes imposed on
Jewish communities under the most humiliating exactions.

In the Soviet part of the occupied zone organization of
liquidation of Jewish property was done by SS Investment in
January 1940 for about 1 billion Marks. As far as we know, these actions were

62. Examples of confiscation of property of Jews by the
Gestapo in various locations and nationalities of Germany:
Amerika, London, Berlin, Stockholm, Amsterdam, etc.
December 1939, Exhibit 7
IT. - Vehbiye C. Museum, Nos. 144, 170: Yemeni (Merzado Xena)
Exhibit 7.
63. - Manual Report for Fall 1941. Document found in archives of
Soviet Foreign Office in Belgrade, O. 16, Exhibit 7.
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...are concerned. Jews were ousted by the most primitive means of being arbitrarily expelled, and immediately after followed their deportation to slave labor camps and extermination.

The scheme of direct looting was also applied to the Jewish communities of Western Europe. Typical of such looting was the collective fine of one billion French imposed by General von Rundstedt on the Jews of the occupied areas of France in December 1941. This fine was collected through the confiscation of Jewish bank accounts and properties, provisionally frozen by "legal" orders. From the final looting, won the climax of the whole scale of exploitation.

Another phase of looting consists in the Nazi hierarchy's theft of Jewish art treasures, from both community and private collections. According to captured German documents (contained in Exhibit 12) the British Foreign Minister (Sir Normeth) was oversized in seize art treasures in occupied...

*10 - See Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 7.
*20 - Exhibit 5, France, (Occupied Zone) No. 11.
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territory, the Reich Propaganda Minister (Goebbels) was
empowered to seize materials relating to Germany in
museums in occupied Europe, and Göring while having
"obtained" Jewish art treasures (letter from Göring
to Rosenberg dated 21 November 1940).

Another document proves the complicity of the Chief
of the Reich Chancellery (Dr. Lemmer), the Reich Minister
for the Occupied Eastern Territories (Rosenberg), and the
Chief of the Army High Command (Keitel) in the seizure of
Jewish "cultural goods" (and even furniture) in Western
Europe (Exhibit B2). These documents contain no less
than 75 Jewish art collections seized in Western Europe
as well as an inventory of 21,507 works of art looted from
300 locations prior to 1 July 1944.

Another aspect of the economic destruction is the
seizure and confiscation of community property, discussed in Charge I.