1) In general our draft has been reproduced almost literally.

2) Our Par. 3 has been skipped entirely. It does not seem to be very helpful.

3) In the majority, there is a mistake on page 8 of the final draft which should be addressed.

4) 5th line from the top reads: "and that is, that in the case of the latter nationalisations were employed such as punishments for individual guilt or resistance or need for manpower...", which is unintelligible.

   Our draft (p. 4) reads: "and that is, that for the latter there was always an individual explanation while for the former even no attempt was made to explain the crimes..."

4) Par. 7 of the final draft attempts to some degree to express the idea we have developed in the same Par. to wit, that our concern in the indictment is more the crime committed against the Jews as a people than the Jews as individuals. Since, however, the final sentence of this Par. in the final draft reads: "the enumerated 9 charges aim rather to be a presentation of the primary crimes committed against the Jewish people as a whole", I think that we have got the essential recogni-
tion of the nature of the crime as directed against the Jews as an
ethical entity.

3) Of course, charge 9: Organized violence is not at its proper
place. It should come, in any rate, before the charge: mass annihi-
lation.