PART IV
THE AUTONOMOUS STATUS OF THE GERMAN JEWISH PEOPLE

(Analysis of Various Types of Crimes)

Introductory Note

The conclusions arrived at in Part I of this paper show with absolute certainty that the ultimate goal of Nazi German policies with regard to the Jewish people was nothing short of complete physical annihilation.

Indeed, the extermination of the Jewish people has been well planned and prepared by the Nazi party and the German Reich with all the usual German thoroughness and consistency.

The object was not only the Jews of Germany, or even European Jewry, but the Jewish people all over the world.

Adolf Hitler himself complained that

"The question was whether this (Jewish question) was a question of vital importance to the whole of mankind, and that on its solution the fate of all non-Jewish people depended" (Mein Kampf, English edition, p.156).

"For Germany, the Jewish question will be solved only when the last Jew will leave the Greater German spaces." stated Reinhard Heydrich, and he continued...
For Europe the Jewish Question will be solved only when the last Jew will leave the European continent. (Chalkirk, April-September, 1943, p. 77)

Rabbi Dr. Joseph, the director of the Knesset Institute for Research of the Jewish Problem, declared that:

"The struggle on the Jewish question and for a justified solution of it will continue even after the definitive solution in Europe." (Chalkirk, p. 15)

He referred specifically to American Jewry.

Another German writer, Ernst Hilgart, explained that the "Judenfrage" Germany has yet another mission to fulfill: it has to bring about the solution of the Jewish problem all over the world for "The solution of the Jewish problem — that means the redemption of all mankind" (Der Kampf geht weiter, December, 1938, article "Der Kampf geht weiter").

East Germany treated the Jewish Question as a world problem, and led the war against the Jewish People far beyond Germany's geographical boundaries.

Several preliminary observations are necessary in order to clarify the general character and the meaning of the terms used in the following eight charges, amounting up the German conspiracy against the Jewish people.
By reason of expediency and emotion, however such
tactics seemed to be advisable, the criminal gang did not
proceed in a simple and uniform manner, but carried out its
ultimate progress in successive stages. Some of these
measures were deliberately carried out exclusively in regard to the Jewish people as, for instance,
discrimination, citizenship denial, complete economic ruin,
ghettoization, mass murder. In other respects, methods
used by Germany in her biological warfare, like starvation,
forced labor, deportations, were applied toward the Jewish
population in high grade, anyway. In a higher grade than
those applied to the non-Jewish population. While the
transfer of millions of slave laborers was one of the
manifests of German manpower policy, this process re-
flected only to able bodied men and women; the deporta-
tion of millions of Jews was carried out in a completely
undiscriminated manner. Forced labor was applied also
with regard to other "lower races"; however, no other
people was singled out for complete slavery.
2. Whether the methods used against Jews were of specific nature or only applied in stronger doses, there is one thing which is common to all methods used with regard to Jews, as different from those applied towards non-Jews, and that is, that for the latter there was always an individual explanation, while for the former even to attempt the same to explain the crimes, the only justification being the racial point.

She would be able to look into the statute books and international usage of a natural law for some specific point which could have regulated this unprecedented case of annihilation of a whole people. It speaks in favor of the "inhumanity" of the Gentile people who were never before thought it necessary to introduce into statute books provisions for such crimes.

However, both the 1929 Hague Convention of 1929 and especially, the prudential, referring to loss of humanity which are the very basis of law of war and a \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \) of law of peace, supply us with sufficient legal bases.
trial to judge these crimes. Moreover, German law, as
enacted and applied by pre-East Germany, again supplies us
with sufficient legal justification for trial of these crimes.

The great danger should not be overlooked, and that in
the poverty of human language which results in using the
same words not only for different notions, but sometimes
for conflicting ones.

"Reservation" as understood in this country in regard
to certain indigenous populations, is a preventive measure
against certain social and sanitary evils, whereas the same
word used by the Nazi philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, when
applied to Jews means in the final analysis mass graves.

Even "aggregation" whatever its racial connotations may be,
has certainly nothing to do with some physical conse-
quences for persons aggregated. The real use of ag-
gregation (ghetto) was meant not as a geographical
or local separation of ethnic elements, but as a method
of accelerating the process of destruction. The number
of examples could have been amplified.
It was the deliberate intention of the Germans to cover their deeds with words which lent themselves to connotations different from those which are inherent in the very deeds.

It is outside of the scope of these charges to take issue with political problems of the responsibility of the German people as a whole or the Nazi party and its membership. The planning, the philosophical foundations, the propaganda, and the so-called fighting period of the party, all that may, in a sense of historical truthfulness, be laid down at the doors of the party alone. While the concrete legal and extra-legal measures taken in pursuance of this philosophy and in implementation of the party program are certainly due to the triple responsibility of the Government, the Party and the Nation alike.
6. The following pages represent an analysis of the successive crimes committed by Germany, culminating in the war罪名.

While for methodological reasons it appeared advisable to discuss each of the eight charges individually, it should always be borne in mind that in fact they are all so closely interrelated and interlaced that their actual functions toward each other are so tied up as to make it impossible to separate the disposition of individual crimes in the very nature of the charges.

The mutual interdependence of the individual charges and their interlocking is the final evidence for the unity of purpose and intent, sometimes hidden behind the separate methods.

7. The following eight charges do not represent the totality of all the charges the State as a people could have raised against Germany. Only the most important cases, and the purpose of which was not an individual member of the Jewish race or people but Germany as a whole. By
refrain from therefore from quoting thousands of crimes
committed against individual Jews in form of looting,
murders, torture, and so on.

The various crimes are analyzed in the following
order of frequency.