PART IV
THE AUTONOMOUS STATUS OF THE GERMAN COMMUNITY AMONG
THE JEWISH PEOPLES

(Analysis of Various Types of Crime)

Introductory Note

The conclusion arrived at in Part I of this paper ties
alone with absolute certainty that the ultimate goal of Nazi
German policies with regard to the Jewish peoples was nothing
short of complete physical annihilation.

Indeed, the extermination of the Jewish peoples has been
well planned and prepared by the Nazi party and the German
Nazi with all the usual Nazi thoroughness and insistence.

The object was not only the Jews of Germany, or even
European Jewry, but the Jewish peoples all over the world,

Adolf Hitler himself complained that

"The problem was looking that this (Jewish
question) was a question of vital importance
to the whole of mankind, and that on its so-
lution the fate of all non-Jewish peoples de-
pended" (Mein Kampf, English edition, p. 196).

"For Germany, the Jewish question will be solved
only when the last Jew will leave the Great
German space."

stated Meinheimer Alfred Rosenberg, and he continued
The struggle over the Jewish question and for a satisfactory solution to it will continue for years after the supposed solution in Europe." (New Republic, No. 45, December, 1930, article "Der Kampf geht weiter").

East Germany treated the Jewish question as a world problem, and led its war against the Jewish people far beyond Germany's geographical boundaries.

Additional preliminary observations are necessary in order to clarify the general character and the meaning of the terms used in the following eight charges, culminating the German conspiracy against the Jewish people,
1. By reason of expediency and emotion, whatever such
tactics seemed to be advisable, the criminal gang did not
proceed in a simple and uniform manner, but carried out its
ultimate purpose in successive stages. Some of these
measures were deliberately devised and carried out exclu-
sively in regard to the Jewish people as, for instance,
discrimination, stigmatization, complete economic ruin,
gasification, mass murder. In other respects, methods
used by Germany in her biological warfare, like starvation,
forced labor, deportations, were applied toward the Jewish
population in a high grade, anyway, in a higher grade than
those applied to the non-Jewish population. While the
transfer of millions of slave laborers was one of the
mainstays of German manpower policy, this process re-
fined only to able-bodied men and women; the deporta-
tion of millions of Jews was carried out in a completely
undiscriminated manner. Forced labor was applied also
with regard to other "lower races," however, no other
people was singled out for complete slavery,
2. Whether the methods used against Jews were of specific nature or only applied in stronger doses, there is one thing which is common to all methods used with regard to Jews, as different from those applied towards non-Jews, and that is, that for the latter there was always an individual explanation, while for the former even no attempt was made to explain the crimes, the only justification being the moral point.

She would be able to look into the statute books and international usage as a natural law for some specific points which could have regulated this unprecedented case of annihilation of a whole people. It speaks in favor of the imaginative humanity who never before thought it necessary to introduce into statute books provisions for such crimes.

However, both the IVth Hague Convention of 1907 and, especially, the principle referring to loss of humanity which are the very basis of laws of war and a 2 of laws of peace, supply us with sufficient legal base.
trial to judge these crimes. Moreover, German law, as
enacted and applied by pre-Nazi Germany, again supplies us
with sufficient legal justification for trial of these crimes.

One great danger should not be overlooked, and that is
the poverty of human language which results in using the
same words not only for different notions, but sometimes
for conflicting ones.

Reservation, as understood in this country in regard
to certain indigenous populations, is a preventive measure
against certain social and sanitary evils, while the same
word used by the Nazi philosopher, Alfred Rosenberg, when
applied to Jews means in the final analysis mass graves.

Even 'aggregation' whatever its racial connotations may be,
has certainly nothing to do with some physical conse-
quences for persons aggregated. The real use of ag-
gregation (Ghetos) was meant not as a geographical,
or local separation of ethnic elements, but as a method
of expediting the process of destruction. The number
of examples could have been amplified.
It was the deliberate intention of the Germans to cover their deeds with words which lent themselves to connotations different from those which are inherent in the very deeds.

One more observation of a general character. As a rule, we have heard the expressions Nazi or German interchangeably. It is outside of the scope of these charges to take issue with political problems of the responsibility of the German people as a whole or of the Nazi party and its membership, the planning, the philosophical foundations, the propaganda and the so-called fighting period of the party.

All that may, in a sense of historical truthfulness, be laid down at the door of the party alone. While the concrete legal and extra-legal measures taken in pursuance of this philosophy and in implementation of the party program are certainly due to the triple responsibility of the Government, the Party and the Hitlerism.
The following pages represent an analysis of the successive crises committed by Germany, concluding in the same manner.

While for methodological reasons it appeared advisable to discuss the eight charges individually, it should always be borne in mind that in fact they are all so closely interrelated and interlocked that their mutual actions toward each other are so tied up as to make and purposes that a certain artificiality in the disposition of individual crises is in the very nature of these charges.

This almost interdependence of the individual charges and their interlocking is the final evidence for the unity of purpose and intent, sometimes hidden behind the separate methods.

The following eight charges do not represent the totality of all the charges the Jews as a people could have raised against Germany. Only the most important ones, and the purpose of which was not an individual member of the Jewish race or people but Jews as a whole.
restrain from therefore from quoting thousands of crimes
committed against individual Jews in form of looting,
murder, torture, and so on.

The various crimes are analysed in the following
order of occurrence.