From: Senator Joe McCarthy
To: All Members of the Senate and House

June 11, 1951

In closely following the testimony before the joint committees of Foreign Relations and Armed Services, which is conducting an investigation of the incident of Douglas MacArthur, I have become more and more impressed by two incomparable facts:

1. That it is impossible to develop the facts in the MacArthur inquiry without at the same time bringing to light some of the facts which bear on the question of why we fell from our position as the most powerful nation on earth at the end of World War II to a position of declared weakness by our "leadership" — a leadership which shirks, shirks, shirks fear, and urges that we dare not win a war which it started — this we dare not win for fear of attack from another enemy — that we dare not fight back and protect the lives of our own men for fear that there will be dropped on Washington an atomic bomb manufactured by the enemy with the use of secrets stolen from us by spies which, according to the President, never existed, and

2. That it will be equally impossible to obtain the answers to the above without uncovering a conspiracy so immense and so infamy so black as to dwarf any previous such venture in the history of men.

During the Marshall testimony, one of the senators obviously troubled by the odor of the conspiracy which was commencing to rise as a result of the constant probing by the members of the committee — troubled by the fringes of the conspiracy which were commencing to show — came to my office and asked me for information on a subject which was troubling and puzzling him greatly.

While I cannot quote his verbatim, the questions he asked were substantially as follows:

1. Who was close to Marshall and succeeded in deceiving, this great American at Yalta when his military advice was that we turn Manchuria over to Russia, thereby signing at least the first section in the death warrant of the Republic of China?

2. Who twisted and perverted the thinking of this great American and misguided him into the folly of the Dim Sum Mission to China?
(3) Who of tortured duplicity to America succeeded in deceiving this
great general during the course of World War II to the end that he always
-sided with Stalin and against Churchill when history's great decisions
were being made — decisions which turned out so bad for the free world
and so good for international communism?

Upon searching for the answers for the Senator, I found to my surprise
that Marshall, who, by the alchemy of propaganda, became the "greatest living
American," and the recently proclaimed "global strategist" for the party in
power, up to this time had never had his record subjected to the searching
light of my historians. In view of the fact that the committee, the Congress,
and the American people are being called upon to either endorse or reject
Marshall's Global strategy, I felt that it was urgent that such a study be
made and submitted to the Russell Committee.

I decided that the record of Marshall's untaken scores of decisions and
acts, contributing so greatly to the strategy of defeat, should be given not
from the pens and lips of his critics but from sources friendly to him. In
view of the fact that the archives of this nation and other nations are not
available to me, I have been unable to document all of the important details
of his record. However, sufficient evidence is available to give a picture
which is complete in its general outline. I have drawn on the written records
on the memoirs of the principal actors in the great events of the last ten
years; I have drawn heavily from the books out of which the history of these
times will be written for the next five hundred years; I have drawn from the
pens of Admiral Leahy, Winston Churchill, Sir Archibald Wavell, President
#11, Henry L. Stimson, James F. Byrnes, Edward R. Stettinius, Jr.,
Samuel Wells, Douglas MacArthur, General Deane, General Chennault
and General-outsiders. No one of these alone was trying to or did give anything
remotely approaching a complete record of Marshall. The picture emerges,
however, as we piece together their recollections of the events in which he
figures — oftentimes fragmentary, never directly uncomplimentary, but when
fitted together, pointing surprisingly to one conclusion.

It is from these sources, plus the State Department's record taken from
Marshall's own files, that the picture becomes generally complete.

It is needless to tell you that this was a monumental task, but one which
I felt had to be done, for unless we understand the record of Marshall it will
be impossible to even remotely grasp the planned steady retreat from victory
which commenced long before World War II ended. Unless we carefully study the records of Marshall and Acheson, who have worked together so closely, it will be impossible to foretell the next move on the timetable of the great conspiracy.

I realize full well how unpopular it is to lay hands on the laurels of a man who has been built into a great hero. I very much dislike this unpleasant task but I feel that it must be done if we are to intelligently make the proper decisions in the issues of life and death before us. I also realize that the left-wing camp, following, members of the press and radio will again use all out in an attempt to discredit and hide the true record in this case by the same barrage and type of propaganda that was loosed when I started to oppose that other great untouchable man who was such a hero sixteen months ago — Dean Acheson.

For that reason, I urge all of the members of the Senate and House to study the completely documented picture of this man which I shall present to the Senate on Thursday of this week, a picture drawn not by my pen, nor by the pens of any of his other critics, but from the pens that were friendly or at least neutral toward him.

JOE McARTHY, U.S.N.