On 24 December 1950 the General Assembly adopted the following resolution which had been sponsored by thirteen Asian Powers:

"The General Assembly,

"Noting with grave concern the situation in the Far East,

"Aroused that immediate steps should be taken to prevent the conflict in Korea spreading to other areas and to put an end to the fighting in Korea itself, and that further steps should then be taken for a peaceful settlement of existing issues in accordance with the purposes and principles of the United Nations,

"Requests the President of the General Assembly to constitute a group of three persons, including himself, to determine the basis on which a satisfactory cease-fire in Korea can be arranged and to make recommendations to the General Assembly as soon as possible."

2. In pursuance of the resolution, the President forthwith constituted a group consisting of Mr. L.B. Pearson of Canada, Sir Benegal N. S. Sen of India and himself, and announced this fact to the General Assembly. The Group met almost immediately afterwards and decided to associate the Secretary-General of the United Nations with its work.

3. A copy of the resolution was sent on 25 December to Ambassador W., the representative of the People's Republic of China who was then in New York.

4. On 25 December, as a first step in carrying out its task the Group consulted the representatives of the United Nations Command as to what they considered to be a
to be a satisfactory basis for a cease-fire. The suggestions which emerged from this consultation and which in the circumstances the Group felt constituted a reasonable basis for discussion, are summarised below:

(1) All governments and authorities concerned, including the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China and the North Korean authorities, shall order and enforce a cessation of all acts of armed forces in Korea. This cease-fire shall apply to all of Korea.

(2) There shall be established a demilitarised zone across Korea of approximately twenty miles in depth with the southern limit following generally the line of the 38th parallel.

(3) All ground forces shall remain in position or be withdrawn to the rear of the zone; forces, including guerrillas, within or in advance of the demilitarised area must be moved to the rear of the demilitarised area; opposing air forces shall respect the demilitarised zone and the areas beyond the zone; opposing naval forces shall respect the waters contiguous to the land areas occupied by the opposing armed forces to the limit of 3 miles from shore.

(4) Supervision of the cease-fire shall be by a United Nations Commission whose members and designated observers shall insure full compliance with the terms of the cease-fire. They shall have free and unlimited access to the whole of Korea. All governments and authorities shall co-operate with the Cease-Fire Commission and its designated observers in the performance of their duties.

(5) All governments and authorities shall cease promptly the introduction into Korea of any reinforcing or replacement units or personnel, including volunteers, and the introduction of additional war equipment and material. Such equipment and material will not include supplies required for the maintenance of health and welfare and such other supplies as may be authorized by the Cease-Fire Commission.

(6) Prisoners of war shall be exchanged on a one-for-one basis, pending final settlement of the Korean question.

(7) Appropriate provision shall be made in the cease-fire arrangements in regard to steps to insure (a) the security of the forces; (b) the movement of refugees; and (c) the handling of other specific problems arising out of the cease-fire, including civil government and police power in the demilitarised zone.

/(8) The
(6) The General Assembly should be asked to confirm the cease-fire arrangements, which should continue in effect until superseded by further steps approved by the United Nations.

2. The Group then attempted to consult the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China and, for this purpose, sent a message by hand to Ambassador Wei and repeated it by cable to the Minister for Foreign Affairs in Peking. The text of this message is reproduced below:

"Dear Ambassador Wei,

"As you have already been informed by Resolution 1717, a copy of which was sent to you yesterday, a Committee was set up by the General Assembly of the United Nations on the previous day, December 19, consisting of myself and my two colleagues, Sir Bengali Rao of India, and Mr. L. B. Pearson of Canada, charged with the duty of determining whether it is possible to arrange appropriate and satisfactory conditions for a cease-fire in Korea. The purpose of this cease-fire in Korea will be to prevent the conflict from spreading to other areas, to put an end to the fighting in Korea, and to provide an opportunity for considering what further steps should be taken for a peaceful settlement of existing issues, in accordance with the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

"The above Committee has now met representatives of the United Communist of Korea, and has discussed with them, in an exploratory manner, possible conditions upon which a cease-fire might be established. Since the Government of the Communist People's Republic of China has expressed strong views on the future of Korea, and about the present state of warfare in that country, and since Chinese are participating in that warfare, the Committee wishes also to discuss with your Government or its representatives, and with the military authorities in command of the forces operating in North Korea, possible conditions upon which a cease-fire might be established. For this purpose, we desire to see you at your earliest convenience, and we should be grateful to know when a meeting can be arranged.

"We realize that your Government which sent you here with other objects in mind, may prefer other arrangements by which a cease-fire can be discussed with them. We wish your Government to know that, in the interests of stopping the fighting in Korea and of facilitating a just
settlement of the issue there in accordance with the principles of the United Nations Charter, we are prepared to discuss cease-fire arrangements with your Government or its representatives either here or elsewhere, as would be mutually convenient. We urge only that arrangements for these discussions should be made with the least possible delay. With this in mind, we are sending the text of this communication directly to your Government by telegraph.

Yours sincerely,

Mofarrah Bin Saeed."

December 16, 1950.

6. On 16 December, Mr. Pearson, on behalf of the Group, submitted a brief preliminary account of its activities to the First Committee, hoping that a fuller report would be made in the near future.

7. On 16 December, the President, acting on behalf of the Group, had notified himself of the good offices of the Swedish Government to transmit through the Swedish Embassy in Peking a request to the Central People's Government that Ambassador Wu be instructed to stay on in New York and discuss with the Group the possibility of arranging a cease-fire. The reply to the request, communicated to the President on 21 December, through the same channel was as follows:

"The Central People's Government acknowledges receipt of a message of 10th December 1950 from Mr. Pearson, President of the General Assembly, transmitted via the Swedish Government and asks the Swedish Government to transmit the following reply to Mr. Pearson, President of the General Assembly.

The representative of the People's Republic of China neither participated in nor agreed to the adoption of the Resolution concerning the so-called 3-man Committee for Cease Fire in Korea by the United Nations General Assembly. The Central People's Government has repeatedly declared that the Central People's Government would regard as illegal and null and void all major resolutions, especially those concerning Asia which might be adopted by the United Nations without the participation and approval of the duly appointed delegates of the People's Republic of China. Therefore the Central People's Government cannot instruct its representative General Wu to continue to remain in Lake Success for negotiations with the above-mentioned 3-man illegal Committee. After the Security Council unreasonably voted against the "Complaint against the United States armed aggression against
against Japan, raised by the People's Republic of China, General Wu was instructed by the Central People's Government to continue to stay at Lake Success for participation in the discussion of "the complaint of the U.S. aggression against China" submitted by the U.S.S.R. representative; although he was waiting for a long time and until the United Nations General Assembly was declared adjourned, he was still not given the opportunity to speak. Under such circumstances, the Central People's Government feels that there is no more necessity for General Wu and his staff to remain at Lake Success and has therefore instructed him to start their homeward journey on December 19.

As to the question of how the United Nations may get in touch with the Korean Democratic People's Republic the Central People's Government is of the opinion that United Nations should address direct inquiry to the Government of the Korean Democratic People's Republic.

On 19 December, acting on a recommendation from the sponsors of the Twelve-Power resolution introduced in the First Committee on 17 December, the Group sent another message to the Foreign Minister of the Central People's Government. This was intended to remove any possible misunderstandings which may have arisen out of the separation of the Twelve-Power resolution from the thirteen-Power resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 16 December. The text of the message is given below:

"CHOU SHIH-LAI,
Minister for Foreign Affairs,
CENTRAL PEOPLE'S GOVERNMENT OF PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
(TIEN KING, CHINA).

In the consideration which you are giving to our earlier message, we are anxious that there should be no misunderstanding as to the relationship between the United Nations Resolution establishing a cease-fire group, and resolution proposed by twelve Asian Governments, recommending appointment of a committee to meet as soon as possible and make recommendations for peaceful settlement of existing issues in Far East. It is our clear understanding and also that of the twelve Asian sponsors, that once a cease-fire arrangement had been achieved, the negotiations visualised in the second resolution should be proceeded with at once. Indeed, the present cease-fire resolution states specifically
that steps should be taken for a peaceful settlement when fighting in Korea is ended. It is also our view, as well as that of the twelve
Asian governments sponsoring the second resolution, that Governments of
the Peoples Republic of China should be included in the Negotiating
Committee referred to in that resolution. We feel that this Committee
should become an effective channel for seeking peaceful solution of
existing issues in Far East between the United States, the United
Kingdom, the Soviet Union and China. For that purpose, in our opinion,
it should be set up with minimum of delay, but to make that possible
a “cease fire” arrangement must be put into effect. This point of view
has been communicated to your Delegation which left New York today, and
we express the hope that you will give full weight to it.

Committee of the General Assembly

Hasanullah Khattak, President of the General Assembly
Sir Basil Rath
Lester B. Pearson"

9. On 23 December, the President of the General Assembly, in his capacity as
such, received from the Foreign Minister of the Central People’s Government the
text of a statement issued by the latter in Peking on 22 December explaining
the attitude of the Central People’s Government on the Resolution constituting
the Cease-Fire Group and on the peaceful settlement of the Korean question.
This document is reproduced as an Annex. It appears to be in the nature of an
answer to the Group’s message of 30 December.

10. In these circumstances and in spite of its best efforts, the Group
regrets that it has been unable to pursue discussion of a satisfactory
cease-fire arrangement. It therefore feels that no recommendation in regard to
a cease-fire can usefully be made by it at this time.
Mr. Kuang Hsueh, Minister for Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, on December 22, 1950, in a statement issued by the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China addressing to the President of the General Assembly of the United Nations, stated: 

"The United Nations General Assembly has adopted a resolution submitted by the People's Republic of China, advocating the establishment of a so-called 'three-man committee for cease-fire in Korea,' although the resolution was not submitted to the United Nations General Assembly by thirteen nations. On December 22, 1950, the Chinese delegation delivered a statement to the United Nations General Assembly, stating: \[ \text{[Text not legible]} \] "
committee for cease-fire in Korea" by the United Nations General Assembly. Prior to this, the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China has repeatedly declared that the Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China would regard as illegal and null and void all resolutions on major problems, especially those concerning the major problems of Asia, which might be adopted by the United Nations without the participation and concurrence of the duly appointed Delegates of the People's Republic of China. Therefore, the Government of the People's Republic of China and its Delegates are not prepared to make any contact with the above-mentioned illegal "three-man committee".

"In the United People's Government of the People's Republic of China has always held and still holds that the hostilities in Korea should be speedily brought to an end. In order to end the hostilities in Korea, genuine peace must be restored in Korea, and the Korean people must have genuine freedom to settle their own problems. The reason why the hostilities in Korea have not yet been put to an end is precisely because of the fact that the United States Government has despatched troops to invade Korea and is continuing and extending its policies of aggression and war. As far back as the beginning of the hostilities in Korea, we have stood for the peaceful settlement and localisation of the Korean problem. For this reason, the Government of the People's Republic of China and that of the USSR have repeatedly proposed that all foreign troops be withdrawn from Korea, and that the Korean people be left alone to settle the Korean problem themselves. However, the United States Government not only rejected such a proposal, but also rejected all negotiations for the peaceful settlement of the Korean problem. When the invading troops of the United States arrogantly crossed the 38th parallel, at the beginning of the month of October, the United States Government, recklessly ignoring warnings from all quarters and following the provocative crossing of the border by Syngman Rhee in June, thoroughly destroyed, and hence obliterated forever this despicable line of political geography. In the later part of November, when the Representative of the People's Republic of China was invited to take part in the discussion by the Security Council on the charge against United States aggression in Taiwan, he again submitted the proposal that the United States and other foreign troops be all withdrawn from Korea, and that the People of South and North Korea be left alone to settle their own affairs. But the United Nations Security Council, under the domination of the United States, rejected this reasonable peace proposal from the Government of the People's Republic of China. From this it is evident that since the United States Government has from the very beginning refused to withdraw its troops, it has absolutely no sincerity in ending the hostilities in Korea, still less in letting the Korean people have genuine peace and freedom."
"...This being the case, why does the American Delegates Mr. Amsden, now favour an immediate cease-fire in Korea, and why does President Truman also express willingness to conduct negotiations to settle the hostilities in Korea? It is not difficult to understand that, when the American invading troops were landing at Inchon, crossing the 38th parallel or pressing toward the Yalu River, they did not favour an immediate cease-fire and were not willing to accept negotiations, as it is only today when the American invading troops have sustained defeat, that they favour an immediate cease-fire and the conducting of negotiations after the cease-fire. Very obviously, they opposed peace yesterday, so that the United States might continue to extend her aggression, and they favour a cease-fire today, so that the United States may gain a breathing space and prepare to attack again or at least hold their present aggressive position in preparation for further advance. What they care about is not the interests of the Korean people and the Asian peoples, nor those of the American people. They are only interested in how American imperialists can maintain their invading troops and aggressive activities in Korea, how they can continue to invade and occupy China's Taiwan and how they can intensify the preparation for war in the capitalist world. Therefore, that representative of MacArthur's Headquarters said bluntly that they could accept a cease-fire only on a military basis and without any political conditions. This means that, all the status of aggression will remain the same after the cease-fire, so that they can fight again when they are prepared. Further, they could take this opportunity to declare the existence of a state of emergency and no prepare for mobilization in the United States, in Western Europe and in Japan, thus driving the peoples of the United States, Western Europe and Japan down into the abyss of war. Is this not what Amsden, Truman, Johnson, Marshall and MacArthur are doing now? With reference to the so-called proposal for cease-fire first-and negotiations afterwards, irrespective of the fact that the proposal by the twelve nations had neither been adopted by the Security Council nor by the United Nations General Assembly and irrespective of what countries are to be included in the negotiating conference and even if all these had been agreed upon, the agenda and contents of the negotiation could still be discussed endlessly after the cease-fire. If the conference is not a conference of the legal Security Council or of the Legal Five Power conferences, or is not affiliated to these, the U.S. Government in the last resort can still manipulate its voting machine.
Thus to discuss the cease-fire and start negotiations not on the basis of the withdrawal of all foreign troops from Korea and the settling of Korean domestic affairs by the Korean people themselves is to act hypocritically and would therefore suit the designs of the U.S. Government, and hence cannot satisfy the sincere desire of the peace-loving peoples of the world. The true essence of a cease-fire on the spot - peace negotiations - launching of a huge offensive: this Marshall formula is not in the least unfamiliar to the Chinese people, because in 1946, General Marshall assisted Chiang Kai-Shek in this way, repeatedly for a whole year, and in the end had to admit failure and leave. Will the people of China, who had learned this lesson in 1946, after gained victory, fall into such trap today? No, the old trick of General Marshall will not work again in the United Nations.

Moreover, the present issues are definitely not confined to the Korean problem. While the United States Government was engineering the hostilities in Korea, it despatched the Seventh Fleet to invade China's Taiwan and then bombed North-East China, fired on Chinese merchant vessels and continued its aggression in East Asia. Against all this, the People's Government of the People's Republic of China has repeatedly lodged charges with the United Nations. But under American domination, the majority in the United Nations has not only upheld American aggression against Korea and supported American invasion and occupation of Taiwan, the bombing of North-East China, but also rejected the three proposals submitted by our representative on the charge against the United States for armed aggression against Taiwan, and shelved the charge of United States aggression against China made by the Delegate of the Soviet Union. Our Representative was kept waiting for a long time and until the First Committee of the United Nations General Assembly was inadmissibly adjourned, he was not given a chance to speak.

The attitude which was taken by the majority of the United Nations under the domination of the Anglo-American bloc, obviously violates the United Nations Charter and its purposes. They are furthering rather than checking American aggression. They are undermining rather than defending world peace.
What particularly arouses the world's indignation is that, in spite of the fact that during the past several months the United Nations held numerous discussions on China or on important questions concerning China, the Delegates of the People's Republic of China, who are the only Representatives of the four hundred and seventy-five million people of China, are still being kept out of the doors of the United Nations, whereas the Representatives of a handful of the Chiang Kai-shek reactionary remnant are still being allowed to usurp the seats of the Chinese Delegation in the United Nations. To such an extent the Chinese people have been slighted and insulted.

Therefore, the Chinese people, who, impelled by righteous indignation, have risen to volunteer in resisting the United States and helping Korea, and thus protecting their homes and defending their country are absolutely reasonable and justified in so doing. The Chinese people's volunteers, who have been forced to take up arms side by side with the Korean People's Army to resist the American aggressors, under the Unified Command of the Government of the Korean Democratic People's Republic, are fighting for their own existence, fighting to aid Korea and fighting for the peace of East Asia as well as the peace of the whole world.

It must be pointed out that the proposal for a peaceful settlement of the Korean problem submitted by the majority of the Delegates of the thirteen Asian and Arabian nations was originally based on their desire for peace, and this is understandable. But they have failed to see through the whole intrigue of the United States Government in supporting the proposal for a cease-fire first and negotiations afterwards, and therefore they have not seriously considered the basic proposals of the Chinese Government concerning the peaceful settlement of the Korean problem.

The original thirteen-nation resolution was not wholly palatable to the United States Government, so it was separated into two resolutions. The first resolution, or the resolution providing for the so-called "three man committee for cease-fire in Korea", which is satisfactory to the United States, was, under pressure, given priority for discussion and was consequently adopted by the United Nations General Assembly. But the second resolution, or the resolution providing for a so-called "negotiating conference" "negotiating commission", with which the United States was either not satisfied, or not quite satisfied,
was therefore shared for the time being.

The difference between these two resolutions was remarkably demonstrated by the attitude of the Philippine Delegation. The Philippine Delegation, who always followed the footsteps of the United States, only agreed to the first resolution but withdrew from the second resolution. This type of close co-operation displayed by the Philippines in the role of demanding a cease-fire and by the United States in the role of opposing it has thus been exposed.

From this fact itself, the lesson can be drawn that if the Asian and Arabian nations wish to gain genuine peace, they must free themselves from United States pressure and must abandon the "three man committee for cease-fire in Korea", and give up the idea of cease-fire first and negotiations afterwards. "To the Central People's Government of the Peopled Republic of China it solemnly declares that the Chinese people unswervingly hope that the hostilities in Korea can be settled peacefully. We firmly insist that, as a basis for negotiating for a general settlement of the Korean problem, all foreign troops must be withdrawn from Korea, and Korea's domestic affairs must be settled by the Korean people themselves. The American aggression forces must be withdrawn from Taiwan. And the representatives of the People's Republic of China must obtain a legitimate status in the United Nations. These points are not only the justified demands of the Chinese people and the Korean people; they are also the urgent desire of all progressive public opinion throughout the world. To put aside these points would make it impossible to settle peacefully the Korean problem and the important problems of Asia."

"Victory at last" is an image in the mind of the people of every nation, and the struggle for national liberation, the struggle for peace, the struggle against imperialism, the struggle against reaction, the struggle against counter-revolution, the struggle against domestic counter-revolutionary elements, the struggle for a human civilization, have all met with success, and the peoples of all countries are joyfully celebrating the happy moment. The "united front" is of vital importance, not only to the success of the struggle against imperialism, counter-revolution and reaction, but for the post-war reconstruction. The "united front" is a weapon in the hand of the progressive people of all countries. As an important political principle, the "united front" has been universally accepted by the people."

The statement ends with a quotation: "The people of all countries are marching together towards the goal of victory."

---