In response to a message of August 17 from the Commander-in-Chief of the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, General MacArthur cabled a message intended to be read into the Fifty-First National Encampment of that organization. He included in this message a detailed statement of his views on the relationship of Formosa to the American "strategic potential in the Pacific".

World War II, according to MacArthur's analysis, had changed the American 'strategic frontier' from one lying "on the littoral line of the Americas with an exposed island salient ... to the Philippines" to one embracing "the entire Pacific Ocean which has become a vast coast to protect us". No predatory attack from Asia, MacArthur said, could be successful as long as the United States and its allies held the island chain from the Aleutians to the Marianas, properly maintained and with naval and air supremacy and "modern ground elements to defend bases". If this chain were lost, war would be inevitable.

Formosa in the hands of a power unfriendly to the United States, MacArthur stated, would constitute an enemy salient in the center of this defensive perimeter from which an enemy force, using installations currently available, could increase by 100 percent "the air effort which could be
directed against Okinawa as compared to operations based on the mainland and at the same time could direct damaging air attacks with fighter type aircraft against friendly installations in the Philippines which are currently beyond the range of fighters based on the mainland. The use of Formosa by a hostile power to 'counter-balance' or overshadow the strategic importance of the central and southern flank of the U.S. front line position. Formosa, in such hands 'could be compared to an unsinkable aircraft carrier and submarine tender ideally located to accomplish offensive strategy and at the same time checkmate defensive or counter-offensive operations by friendly forces based on Okinawa and the Philippines.'

"Nothing could be more fallacious," MacArthur continued, "than the threadbare argument by those who advocate appeasement and defeatism in the Pacific that if we defend Formosa we alienate Continental Asia." It was the Oriental psychology, he declared, to respect "expressive, resolute, and dynamic leadership" and to turn on a leadership "characterized by timidity or vacillation." The American determination to "preserve the bulwarks" of the American strategic position in the Pacific had inspired the Far East, he concluded, and to "pursue any other course would shift any future battle area five thousand miles eastward to the coasts of the American continents."1

1Updated chit by Merchant, with message from MacArthur attached, unclassified.
In nature
this message came to the attention of the Department
of State late on August 28 and was the subject of
discussion by the Secretary of State.

Webb, Matthews, Jessup, and Buck early the following morning
before a conference which had been arranged
with the President, and Secretary Johnson.

Harriman was asked to stop at the Department on his way
to this conference, and, after discussing with Acheson and
his aides the political problems which MacArthur's message
would raise, he saw the President privately before the
9:30 conference meeting.

At this conference the President raised the question
of the MacArthur message and read it aloud, with comments,
referring to it as having been given him by Harriman. After
considering the matter carefully, the President decided
that the only course was to order withdrawal of the message
and instructed Johnson to issue such an order.

Shortly after this meeting had broken up, Johnson
telephoned Acheson and suggested that, in lieu of an order
to withdraw the message, MacArthur be sent a statement that
if his message were issued, it would be necessary to issue
a statement in Washington that it was one man's opinion
and not the official policy of the Government. Acheson
took strong exception to this course of action as one which
would cause confusion as to what American policy was,
and stated that he saw nothing to do but to send MacArthur
a message that the President directed him to withdraw
his statement.
Inasmuch as Johnson had not understood that the President had actually agreed to send a direct order to MacArthur, there followed further consultations by telephone among Johnson, Acheson, and Harriman. In the course of these, after consulting with his staff, Acheson approved the text of a directive with the President had meanwhile dictated to Johnson by telephone and continued to oppose suggestions from the Department of Defense for handling the matter on a "one man's opinion" MacArthur's basis, through the Political Adviser in Tokyo, or by a telephone call to MacArthur from the President. Consideration was given to reopening the matter with the President, but in a conversation with Harriman the President said that he had dictated what he wanted to go and that he still wanted it to go.1

Late in the afternoon of August 26, therefore, the Department of Defense sent a telegram to MacArthur informing him that the President directed the withdrawal of his message to the Veterans of Foreign Wars "because various features with respect to Formosa are in conflict with the policy of the U.S. and its position in the UN."2

1Memorandum by Battle on the events of August 26, 1950, top secret.

2To Tokyo, tel. DEF 89680, Aug. 26, 1950, 2617273, top secret.
On August 27 MacArthur announced that he had been directed to withdraw his message to the Veterans of Foreign Wars and on the following day the White House announced that President Truman had directed the withdrawal. Advance copies of the message, however, had already been distributed to the press, and, in spite of the withdrawal, the message itself soon became public knowledge.

Secretary Acheson analyzed the situation in a memorandum of August 27 as follows:

"There can be only one voice in stating United States positions in foreign relations.... The President has spoken very clearly and very specifically on the subject of American policy toward Formosa. It is essential that the clarity, sincerity and good faith of our position... should not be brought in doubt.

"The President cannot debate with the General as to who states the United States position the better nor... whether the General's statement supports or does not support the President's position.

"The President's statement must stand before the world unconfused and uninterpreted as the official position of the United States."

Acheson affirmed that it was essential that the fundamental points of American policy as enunciated by the President and as communicated by Ambassador Austin to the Secretary-General of the UN on August 28 remain absolutely clear... amid the dust storm of propaganda which Mr. Halifax
raising on this very subject." The Secretary concluded:

"The President's action in directing the withdrawal of the General's message was an effort to preserve the clarity of the position of the United States before the world.

"It must not be forgotten that General MacArthur is the United Nations Commander in Korea, that the question of Formosa has now been brought before the Security Council of the United Nations, that members of the United Nations have differing points of view regarding Formosa, and that the American position which has been so clearly stated by the President and Ambassador Austin must not be clouded in any way by any person."1

A memorandum along similar lines was given to the President's Press Secretary for background in briefing the press,2 and the Department late on August 27 submitted to the President a draft letter to Ambassador Austin. This letter,3 was signed without change and dispatched the next morning.4 It restated seven fundamental points of American policy with respect to Formosa to the effect that there be no misunderstanding concerning the position of the Government of the United States: (1) "The United States has not

1 Auchincloss to Webb, memorandum, Aug. 27, 1950, top secret.
2 Updated copy by Hornblunt with attached notes referred to as "background supplied Charlie Ross", confidential.
3 Memorandum the text was also read to Austin by phone at 6 a.m. Aug. 27. Hornblunt to Webb, memorandum, Aug. 27, 1950, no classification indicated.
enroached on the territory of China, nor has the United States taken aggressive action against China; (b) a conflict between Formosa and Communist China "would have threatened the security of the United Nations forces operating in Korea; (c) the "action of the United States was an impartial neutralizing action", the United States having "no designs on Formosa"; (d) the American action was "without prejudice to the future political settlement of the status" of Formosa, which would require "some" international action; (e) the United States had a record of friendship for the Chinese people; (f) the "United States would welcome United Nations consideration of the case of Formosa" but (7) the Security Council should not be diverted from its consideration of the aggression against the Republic of Korea.

This letter, which had been drafted to clarify the situation arising from MacArthur's message to the Veterans of Foreign Wars, was immediately made public, and, so far as the Department of State was concerned, closed the incident.

1 Trumans to Austin, letter, Aug. 27, 1950, unclassified.
2 Department of State Bulletin, Sept. 11, 1950, p. 442.