MEMORANDUM FOR JUDGE FAHY

27 April 1950

I enclose a draft of a letter to Secretary of the Army Pease on the question of recruitment. This incorporates some of the changes suggested by Committee members in the original draft of the letter which was to have gone to Secretary Pease. Most of these changes are merely verbal. I enclose the letters of the members so that you can check this new draft against their suggestions.

I have not used Mr. Palmer's wording on page 2 of his letter --- "Under prior conditions of induction and training, obviously" --- because the proportion of Negroes in the lower mental categories had nothing to do with conditions of induction and training, which were the same for both races.

Neither have I made use of Mr. Songsteine's suggestion that the Army reject all Negroes to determine those who might have been "misclassified wrong." It is true that many Negroes did not get the jobs for which they were qualified, but this was a result of segregation and not a result of wrong or tempered G.E. test scores. The tests are objective and administered without any indication of race, and are machine scored. Furthermore, the Army has from time to time done rather extensive retesting and found, on the whole, that even after a considerable period in the Army the men made roughly the same score as on a second go-round as they did on the initial testing. I do not think we can be put in the position of intimating that the Army has declared scores. The discrimination has been entirely in assignment to jobs and schools.

When you have edited the draft, would you return it with the Committee's letters so that I can put them in the file. I think as we have accepted practically all the suggestions made, it isn't necessary to have a second circulation.

E. W. Kem实行erby
Dear Mr. Secretary:

As you undoubtedly know, the President’s Committee for the last year and a half has been working on revisions of their personnel policies and practices in order to afford the policy of equal treatment and opportunity commended by the President in Executive Order 9981, issued on July 26, 1948.

With respect to the Army, the Committee made four recommendations, which it believed would not only go far toward realizing the President’s objective but would also make for a more effective Army. These were: (1) the opening of all Army jobs to qualified personnel without regard to race; (2) the abandonment of racial quotas for school attendance and the opening of all Army schools open to qualified personnel; (3) the assignment of all personnel on the basis of qualification and Army need so that Negro soldiers would no longer be limited in assignment to Negro units and overseas installations; (4) the abolition of the ten per cent quota on Negro enlistments.

The Army has accepted all of these recommendations, and they are now being effected. In this cooperative undertaking Secretary Gray played a considerable part, and the successful issue of the Committee’s work with the Army is due in large measure to his personal interest and cooperation.

There is one matter, however, that was not dealt with before Secretary Gray left, which we would like to bring to your attention. This is the question of recall layoffs. This did not form the basis of a principal recommendation of the Committee because it affects all personnel, white as well as Negro. Nevertheless, it has been a matter of considerable concern to the Committee, as indeed it is to the Army.

There has been some complaint from Army officials in their appearance before the Committee that the service services, because of their higher enlistment standards, were able to “pick the cream” of recruiting material. While the Committee believes that each service
should of course get the best qualified men available, it is disturbed
lest the Army be at some disadvantage. Therefore we are pleased to
note that for some months now, the Army has been able to meet its
requirements with an entrance standard of GCT 90 (percentile 30). If
the Army can maintain this standard, it should be able to improve
considerably the quality of its enlistments, which in turn will be
reflected in the leadership of non-commissioned officers and the
technical competence of enlisted men.

But while the Army now has an enlistment standard of GCT 90, it
continues to recall men with a GCT rating of 70 or even lower. The
Committee dealt with this problem in its initial recommendations to
the Army on July 25, 1949, and again in a memorandum to Secretary Gray
on February 9, 1950.

In its recommendations of July 25, 1949, the Committee suggested
that:

1. A man in GCT Grade IV, completing his first term of
   enlistment and scoring below 80 in Aptitude Area I
test, be not permitted to reenlist.

2. A man with more than one term of enlistment who is in
   GCT Grade IV and scoring below 80 in Aptitude Area I
   test, be not permitted to reenlist except under waiver
   by the Adjutant General in the interest of Army efficiency.

In the Committee’s memorandum to Secretary Gray on February 9, 1950,
we said:

"It is also the Committee’s view that a program to eliminate
from the Army those low-score men who have proved incapable,
would vastly improve the quality of enlisted personnel in a
peace-time training Army."

Presently the Army’s policy, as announced by Secretary Gray last
September, is to deny reenlistment to men who at the end of their first
enlistment have not made private first class, if single, or corporal, if
married. Since virtually all privates who have spent 12 months in grade
are automatically promoted to private first class, and since men who are
ineligible for this automatic promotion are usually separated before the
expiration of their first enlistment, we question whether the Army’s
current policy is stringent enough to eliminate men who are in excess
of the number required to do the Army’s unskilled tasks.
Under AR 615-369 the Army can, and does, separate men for "unfitness" or "unsuitability," if they are incapable of absorbing profitably further training. In August, 1946, the Army issued an order permitting commanders to eliminate low-score men unless they are the result of poor physical condition or the result of local conditions. In April, 1947, the order was rescinded, but with the modification that commanders should not eliminate men solely on the basis of low mental scores. He believes this is the situation at present.

Last fall -- the latest figures we have seen -- the Army was eliminating approximately 700 men monthly, white and Negro, for inaptitude, but this figure includes men separated for other reasons than low intelligence or inability to profit by instruction.

As you know, there are proportionately more Negroes in the lower mental categories than whites. The Committee has been concerned about this heavy concentration of Negroes below 80-70. Our principal preoccupation has steadily been with the quality of the enlisted man, both white and Negro. We have felt that gradually the Army should seek to have the spread of intelligence in the Negro elements parallel that of the white element. This seems a necessary objective if the Negro in the Army are to be of a caliber to profit by the opportunities which the Army is now offering them, and if the Army is to get maximum utilization out of the Negro soldier.

As of last March there were 69,602 Negro enlisted men in the Army. Of these, 28,678 -- 40 per cent -- were below GCT 70, and 10,940 -- 15 per cent -- were below GCT 70. No recognition, of course, that many of the low-score men, both white and Negro, are performing unskilled jobs which do not require much intelligence. Nevertheless, the proportion of Negroes in the lower grades seems unnecessarily high, especially in view of the Army's desire to keep the enlistment standards as high as possible.

It is the Committee's view that the effectiveness of the Army would be immensely increased by a program for eliminating an excess of men with minimum intelligence and skills, regardless of race.

Sincerely yours,

Charles Faby
Chairman

The Honorable Frank Pace
Secretary of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.