MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Telephone Conversation with Mr. Faby
27 November

In a telephone conversation with Mr. Faby at 11:00 a.m., November 27, Mr. Faby said that Mr. Bendsten had called him on November 25. Bendsten had asked about the Committee's reaction to the revision of Circular 33. Mr. Faby said he had told Bendsten that neither he nor the members of the Committee had yet received from the Army copies of this revision, although he had been in a telephone conversation with General Bums and had asked the general to send his copies. Bendsten said that he thought there had been a meeting of the Committee on Wednesday, November 25, in Mr. Palmer's office, at which time he had his secretary telephone the revision of Circular 33 to Mr. Palmer's secretary. Mr. Faby said there had been no meeting of the Committee that he had heard that Mr. Thompson had called on Mr. Palmer on that date.

Mr. Bendsten, Mr. Faby said, then asked whether Mr. Faby and the Committee, regardless of their views on what the ultimate policy of the Army should be, would agree that the revision of Circular 33 accurately reflected the policy statement issued by Secretary Gray and approved by Secretary Johnson on September 30. Mr. Faby replied to Mr. Bendsten that he would by no means agree to such a procedure, and he added that if the Army issued a revision of Circular 33 to commanders, he would notify the White House of the Committee's disapproval and furthermore he would issue a statement to the press making it clear that the Committee had not approved the Army's policy. If this were done, Mr. Faby said, then a situation would arise which had so far been successfully avoided; i.e., a controversy in public.

Mr. Bendsten then asked whether Mr. Faby meant that the Committee had the authority to prevent the Secretary of Defense from approving the Army's policy. Mr. Faby replied that he was not trying to usurp the prerogatives of either Secretary Gray or Secretary Johnson, but that they, of course, had the right to issue an approved policy statement. The point he wished to make, however, was that the Committee operated under an executive order of the President, and that in the Committee's view the Army's policy did not meet the requirements of the policy expressed in the President's Executive Order 9931.
Mr. Fuby then asked me to call Mr. Brandon on Monday morning and inform him that Mr. Fuby had related to me the substance of the conversation with Mr. Brandon. Mr. Fuby asked me also to check up whether copies of revision of 15 had been sent to Mr. Fuby, and to ask also for copies to send to other members of the Committee.

E. V. Emmaway
Executive Secretary