May 4, 1942.

MEMORANDUM TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

On March 19th I forwarded to you proposed letters to the Governors of Connecticut and Minnesota on the question of Negroes in the National Guard and at your request I forwarded them to Mr. Clifford for consideration on March 22nd.

On April 19th you forwarded as a follow-up letter from GovernorDouglas of Minnesota and on that date I sent it to Mr. Clifford for information. Up to this time I have not been authorized to dispatch the letters.

The letters of the 22nd of March are now almost extended and I suggest that I be authorized to dispatch promptly letters to the two Governors in accordance with copies attached hereto. I feel it would be desirable that these letters be dispatched tomorrow or next day if possible.

(signed) KENNETH C. HOWELL

Kenneth C. Regan
Secretary of the Army.

Reals.
Honorable Urban D. Youngvidal,  
Governor of Minnesota,  
Mn. Poly, Minnesota.  

Dear Governor Youngvidal:  

I am now authorized to reply to your letter of March 4, 1918, directed to the Secretary of Defense, relating to Negroes in the National Guard of Minnesota.  

In accordance with a report of competent and experienced officers, the War Department on April 27, 1916, issued regulations which among other things required (subject to certain exceptions) that when Negroes are employed in any units below the battalion, they will be in separate organizations.  

Since I became Secretary of the Army this matter has again been carefully considered by the Military Staff of this Department both in connection with the Regular Army units and the federally recognized civil defense companies. It is the opinion of the Staff — with which I concur — that in the interest of national defense the policy of April 27, 1916, should be uniformly applied throughout the Federal forces of the army.  

In the case of New Jersey the people by direct vote had prohibited in their Constitution any segregation in the military. It followed that neither legislative nor executive action in that State could have the effect of regulating the Civilian National Guard in that State. So far as I know this situation does not arise in any other State.  

Because of your interest in this matter, I have referred your request to the Committee on National Guard Policy under Section 7 of the National Defense Act, which Act provides that policies affecting the organization, distribution and training of the National Guard be referred to such Committees for consideration.  

I expect to receive the recommendations of this Committee within the next few weeks and, if these recommendations differ from the views heretofore taken by the Staff and myself, the
Staff and I will again carefully restudy the matter from the standpoint of national defense. I will advise you further when I have heard from the Committee on National Guard Policy.

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth C. Royall
Secretary of the Army

To Hon. Robert H. Humphrey,
Mayor,
Minneapolis, Minn.
May 6, 19[4].

Honor[able James C. Shannon],
Governor of Connecticut,
Hartford, Connecticut.

Dear Governor Shannon,

I am now authorized to reply to Governor McNamara's
telegram of February 9, 19[4], directed to the President,
relating to graduates in the National Guard of Connecticut.

(The remainder of this letter is identical with the
letter of May 4, 19[4], to the Governor of Minnesota.)

Sincerely yours,

Kenneth C. Royall
Secretary of the Army